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The American Hemisphere and the Arab World. Introduction

Yo lo vi en su memoria. Había cumplido 21 años la última semana de enero, y era esbelto y pálido, y tenía los párpados árabes y los cabellos rizados de su padre. Era el hijo único de un matrimonio de conveniencia que no tuvo un solo instante de felicidad, pero él parecía feliz con su padre hasta que éste murió de repente, tres años antes, y siguió pareciéndolo con la madre solitaria hasta el lunes de su muerte. De ella heredó el instinto. De su padre aprendió desde muy niño el dominio de las armas de fuego, el amor por los caballos y la maestría de las aves de presas altas, pero de él aprendió también las buenas artes del valor y la prudencia. Hablaban en árabe entre ellos, pero no delante de Plácida Linero para que no se sintiera excluida (García Márquez 1981: 15-16).1

The young man described here is Santiago Nasar, the murder victim in Crónica de una muerte anunciada (1981) by the Colombian author Gabriel García Márquez and possibly the best-known Latin-American Arab in world literature.

Between 1860 and 1914, quite a few Levantine immigrants arrived in the U.S.A. and Canada, but also in Latin America, Australia and, to a lesser degree, West Africa.2 In famous novels by authors like Gabriel García Márquez or Milton Hatoum, we might find typical figures of Levantine traders – “Turcos”, as they are usually called, even if only few of them were Turkish, but rather Middle Eastern inhabitants of the Ottoman Empire.3 Arab immigration to Latin America

---

1 I saw him in her memory. He had turned twenty-one the last week in January, and he was slim and pale and had his father’s Arab eyelids and curly hair. From his father he learned at a very early age the manipulation of firearms, his love for horses, and the mastery of high-flying birds of prey, but from him he also learned the good arts of valor and prudence. They spoke Arabic between themselves, but not in front of Plácida Linero, so that she wouldn’t feel excluded.

2 Detailed references for the early Southern Mahjar (Exile) can be found in Anna Akasoy, “Exile and Alienation in the Poetry of the Early Southern Mahjar” in this volume.

3 See in this volume Dieter Ingenschay’s article on Milton Hatoum and Juan Goytisolo “Between the Boom and the Arabesque. ‘Hemispheric Writing’ in Juan Goytisolo’s Paisajes después de la batalla and Milton Hatoum’s Relato de un certo Oriente”.
and the U.S.A. began at roughly the same time, but while immigration to the U.S.A. has increased tremendously over the last 150 years, in South America it began to decline after 1960.

After a long process of emancipation and recognition, authors of Arab descent are now entering the North, Middle and South American mainstream.⁴ Although earlier generations of writers drew on the traditional Arab canon of aesthetics (and contexts, often in the Arabic language), third- or fourth-generation immigrant writers have forged new paths between Arab and American literary traditions. After the unexpected and overwhelming international success of the Lebanese poet Gibran Khalil Gibran, who was one of the pioneers of ArabAmerican literature written in English in the early decades of the twentieth century, four decades of a rather shadowy existence of Arab American literature in different parts of the Americas were to follow.

It was not before the 1980s that Arab immigrants and their children and grandchildren started to be perceived in the American public sphere as a more or less homogeneous group having a common cultural background and profile. In the mid-1980s, D. H. Melhem organized the first ArabAmerican Poetry Reading at the Modern Language Association (1984) in the U.S. This event, together with the publication of Gregory Orfalea’s and Sharif Elmusas’s anthology in 1988 (Orfalea/Elmusas 1988), helped in connecting Arabic narrative traditions with the (US-)American literary canon and thus leveraged a new Arab American self-esteem. Internationally acknowledged authors like Samuel J. Hazo, Etel Adnan, Milton Hatoum or Naomi Shihab Nye play an important part as role models in promoting integration in the ArabAmerican communities. Since the 1990s, various literary anthologies have been published,⁵ and journals like al-Jadīd: A Review and Record of Arab Culture and Art (1995) and Mizna. Prose, Poetry and Art Exploring Arab America (1998) act as important mediators for ArabAmerican voices.

---

⁴ For a more detailed survey of recent developments in the U.S., cf. Lisa Suhair Majaj’s article in this volume: “New Directions: ArabAmerican Writing Today”; a survey of Libanese migration to the Americas is given in this volume in Luis Fayad, “Lebanese Migration to the Americas”.

The American Hemisphere and the Arab World

By the end of the 1990s an anthology of Arab American creative and critical writing, co-edited by the Syrian Munir Akash and the Libyan Khaled Mattawa, both of whom moved to the U.S.A. several decades ago, was published by Syracuse University Press (Akash/Mattawa 1999). In this anthology, different genres, like memoirs, diaries and journals, as well as poetry, drama, excerpts from novels and short fiction are gathered. The authors are Arabs having moved to the U.S. as immigrants as well as Americans of Arab origin; but the highly interesting concept of this anthology includes also American authors of non-Arab origin, like the US poet Daniel Moore, author of Ramadan Sonnets, or Penny Johnson, who has been living in Palestine and is engaged in Women’s Studies and Human Rights issues – American authors who have come to be strongly involved in Arab culture and literature in their writing.6

The anthology Post Gibran has been judged by one of the leading contemporary Arab poets, the Palestinian Mahmūd Darwīsh, as a lesson to ‘exiles’ in the broadest sense of the term, “to find creative grassroots in their country of exile”. The literary critic and editor of the literary journal Alif, Ferial Ghazoul (American University of Cairo), enthusiastically praised the innovative potential of these ‘creative grassroots’ in the new Arab American space of literary activity. Ghazoul points in her review of this publication to the innovative potential of this emerging, transnational, syncretic and self-confident Arab American literature:

What is refreshing and striking in these essays is their acknowledgement of the past and the present and their orientation towards the future, determined to have a place of their own in the new world. Theirs is not a sense of nostalgia for the past and for the homeland, as is often witnessed in the writing of the Mahjar7 poet, nor is it the total rejection of the new culture while cocooning oneself in traditional values, as Arabs with a ghetto mentality have done. In opposition these new voices call for forging a conscience of the Arab stream in American culture, not only to preserve a static identity, but also to call on one’s heritage to contribute to, and upgrade, the dynamic combination of cultural strands in the adopted country (Ghazoul 2000).

6 Nathalie Handal treats these questions in her article “Our Roots in the Mezze: The Politics of Food and Arab-American Women Poets” in this volume.

7 North- and South-American exile, note of the editors.
In an approach coming in some respects quite close to Post Gibran’s concept, the anthology “ArabAmericas – the American Hemisphere and the Arab World” focuses on North and South American literatures that have no fixed abode. Looking beyond European categories of fixed identities and transcending the concept of nations as patched-together collectives, this anthology will seek alternatives amidst trans-regionally constituted cultures. The authors are interested in transitions, overlaps and movement – elements of cultural mobility that, in our view, are highly relevant for the emerging literatures of the world. Such processes can best be studied in biographies that are not tied to one place and in literary works that have not emerged from a single (linguistic) community.

In this collective volume, we want to reverse the traditional perspective of literary scholarship: for research purposes the various contributors will view the “norm” – i.e. classifiable, uniform and standardized literary works – as the exception. The authors intend to take seriously the often-cited examples of “cultural hybridity”, that is, to attempt to grasp them on the basis of the conditions that lead to their emergence, instead of relying on those examples of the prevailing discourse.

The Arabic vernacular spoken by immigrants in the late 19th and early 20th century, the Creole languages in the Caribbean, and the Portuguese spoken by slaves in Brazil – all have demonstrated their relevance in everyday and theoretical application beyond the boundaries of standardized national languages in the context of globally entangled histories that result from different periods of accelerated globalization.

Concretely, we will make “Arab-American” literatures the subject of study in all their diversity. This volume’s goal is not to illustrate and analyze (i.e. dissect) the elements of these literatures (Arabic, Anglo, Hispano, Luso and Francophone), but rather to concentrate on the (added) value of what is often looked down upon as a hodgepodge. Since these literatures have been undergoing significant and increasing changes, the methods, readings and interpretative approaches developed over the years cannot be taken as fixed constants and applied without further questioning. Categories such as “national literature” or “world literature” are only effective to a limited degree and in specific
contexts. Our goal is to search for adequate intellectual categories, the "in between" and the crossovers of national and world literature.  

In an interview, the Hungarian author Imre Kertesz pointed at the questionable value of the conventional notions of 'home', 'native country' or 'national identity':

I am a stranger in this world. But this is not a problem at all. As I won't have the right but to claim my strangeness down on earth and in heaven, this hopefully is my right. At home? Native country? — Once upon a time we maybe could speak about all of this in completely different terms, or we did not speak about it at all. Maybe one day somebody will grasp that these are nothing but abstract terms and that the only thing we really need in our life is just a place fit to live in. I have been feeling this for a long time.

The notion of "being or feeling like a stranger" as the essential category of an artist's or a writer's life, was one of the key notions that have been discussed in the first colloquium on "ArabAmericas" during a conference held by the Working Group "Ways of Knowledge: Transregional Studies" at the Institute for Advanced Study at Berlin (Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin; conveners: Ottmar Ette, Friederike Pannewick, Andreas Pflitsch) in December 2004.

During this colloquium, as well as during a series of lectures held under the title "ArabAmerica? — Transatlantic Constructions" at the University of Potsdam, the Humboldt University Berlin, the Latin American Institute at Freie Universität Berlin and the Ibero-American Institute Prussian Heritage Foundation during the spring term of 2005, 9 we debated questions such as: Is it really special for writers with a so-called "double or multiple identity" to feel at home at more than one place in the world, or not to feel at home anywhere at all? What does it mean to feel at home? Is "home" a category of place, or rather an intellectual category? Do we have to deal with the category of "transcendental homelessness" instead? During the vivid debates at

---

8 This volume's article "Literatures without a Fixed Abode. Figures of Vectorial Imagination beyond the Dichotomies of National and World Literature" by Ottmar Ette deals with this subject. See also in this volume the article by Roland Spiller "Dangerous liaisons: Transatlantic multilingualism in Latin American and Maghreb literature". For more details, see also Ette (2005).

9 The first two lecture series were dedicated to "The power of Borders/the Borders of Power" and "Hemispheric Constructions of the Americas". See Braig/Ette/Inngenschay/Makehold (2005; 2006); see also the two dossiers in Iberoamericana (Braig/ Ette 2004; 2005).
this first meeting and the following lectures organized by “Forschungsverbund Lateinamerika Berlin-Brandenburg”, the participants were discussing central issues of literatures with no fixed abode in the context of the current period of accelerated globalization, debates that have deeply marked their contributions to the present book.

This first colloquium, which (together with the lecture series) was followed up in June 2005 under the title “ArabAmericas – Transatlantic” (conveners: Friederike Pannewick, Ottmar Ette), was held in the context of a research project called “Cultural Mobility in Near Eastern Literatures”. This project, initiated in 2001, has since then been part of the Institute for Advanced Study’s Working Group “Modernity and Islam”, and it also contributes some of its activities to the recently founded Research Network “Ways of Knowledge” (Wege des Wissens), based at the Institute for Advanced Study as well.10

The main focus in all these activities at the Institute for Advanced Study is on the diverse processes of transfer, exchange and interaction between the literatures of the Near East and other world literatures.11 What we dealt with in these meetings was to try to cope with movement, as movement is indispensable and crucial for mobility. Mobility is a constituent component of culture. Cultures are inescapably and constantly in motion through time and space. This fact opens cultures up to the unforeseeable, which is the principal attribute of movement.

In the project “Cultural Mobility in Near Eastern Literatures”, including researchers coming from different national philologies inside and outside Europe, we observe and discuss phenomena of mobility in Arabian, Persian and Turkish literatures. In the second step, we always ask: what about these phenomena in other literatures of the world? With good reason we do not confine our research to the traditional perspective of East-West or South-North relations. We care much about South-South relations – for instance African, or in the current case Latin American and Arab literary entanglements –, and

11 Some of the main aims and concepts of the “Cultural Mobility” work group will be transferred to the transdisciplinary international research network called “Travelling Traditions – Comparative Perspectives on Middle Eastern Literatures”, that is located within the working group “Europe in the Middle East – the Middle East in Europe” at the Institute for Advanced Study at Berlin (2006-2011; cf. <http://www.wiko-berlin.de/projects/>).
we try to avoid unilateral perspectives as much as possible. The work in this project is demanding in a double sense: it is daring and innovative, but sometimes it is also quite tiring and seems to try the impossible. To study the restless movements in literatures of the world means having to cope with a constant interpretational suspense.

In this context, we were happy that we could convince four very interesting authors to participate in our first meeting in December 2005: Two of them, Verónica Murguía and Elias Khoury, live more or less in their native country (Elias Khoury is Visiting Professor of Comparative Literature at New York University in spring terms and edits the cultural supplement of Lebanon's daily newspaper al-Nahār during the rest of the year in Beirut). Both are deeply inspired in their literary work by other world literatures: the Mexican writer Verónica Murguía uses story-telling techniques of The Arabian Nights and reflects upon the biographies of famous Arab poets in some of her short stories, whereas Elias Khoury, one of the leading novelists and journalists of Lebanon whose novels have been translated into nearly all European languages, loves to play transareal games with Latin American literature. In one of his novels, Khoury traces back the biography of García Márquez' Lebanese protagonists to their life in Lebanon before their immigration to Latin America by integrating these Arab American figures into his own novels which deal with recent Lebanese history.

The other two artists are well-known authors in their societies, in Brazil and Latin America, as well as in the United States. Alberto Mussa was born in a family of Lebanese and Palestinian origin in Rio de Janeiro and lives in Brazil, where he studied Tupi, the language of the Brazilian natives, some African languages and Arabic. Alberto Mussa grew up in Brasilia without learning any Arabic; as a student he felt the urgent need to learn more about the culture of his forefathers. This is why Alberto Mussa studied Arabic and then started to translate classical Arabic poetry into Portuguese. And it was during this work of cultural mobility that this ArabAmerican writer felt for

---

12 See her article in this volume, “My Unknown Forefathers”.
the first time in his life “at home”: the moment he translated this poetry written some hundred years ago by his Arabic ancestors into his mother tongue Portuguese. So, the act of translation, of trans-action, became a kind of identity building.\textsuperscript{14}

Rabih Alameddine, an internationally acclaimed painter and novel writer, grew up in Kuwait and spent the summers in Lebanon on a regular basis. As a teenager, when the Civil War started in Lebanon, he was forced to leave the country and went to school in England. Then, as a sixteen year old boy, he moved finally to the U.S. Until now he has published three novels in English. In his first novel \textit{Koolaids. The Art of War} the narrator utters a wonderful phrase that says much about the existential state of being that applies to quite a few of the authors represented and dealt with in the anthology \textit{Arab Americas}: “In America, I fit, but I do not belong. In Lebanon, I belong, but I do not fit.”\textsuperscript{15}

At the second workshop held in Berlin in June 2005, five creative writers, literary critics and researchers from Mexico, the U.S.A., Argentina, Colombia and Germany followed the invitation of the Institute for Advanced Study at Berlin. Among them was Alberto Ruy Sánchez from Mexico. While living in Paris for almost a decade, he came under the influence of Roland Barthes, Gilles Deleuze and Jacques Rancière and began to work as a writer and editor. In the mid-1980s, Ruy Sánchez worked as an editorial journalist for the famous magazine \textit{Vuelta}, founded by Octavio Paz. Since 1988 he has been the Chief Editor and Founding Publisher of Latin America’s leading art magazine, \textit{Artes de México}. His books have won numerous awards and have been translated into various languages, including French and Arabic. Ruy Sánchez links elements of magic realism with Arabic mysticism, invoking a sensual and spiritual mood in everyday Islamic life. In his prose, Ruy Sánchez aims to reconstruct the Arabic roots of Hispanic and Hispano-American culture.\textsuperscript{16}

An American-Palestinian perspective entered this workshop’s debates through Lisa Suhair Majaj and Nathalie Handal. Lisa Suhair

\textsuperscript{14} His text “Who Is Facing the Mirror?” in this volume tells this personal story.

\textsuperscript{15} Cf. Andreas Pfiffsch, “To Fit or not to Fit. Rabih Alameddine’s Novels \textit{Koolaids} and \textit{I, the Divine}” in this volume.

\textsuperscript{16} His essay in this volume, “The Nine Gifts that Morocco Gave Me”, is an account of this relation.
Majaj was born in the U.S.A. to a Palestinian father and a U.S.-American mother. She grew up in Jordan and studied at the University of Beirut. After the evacuation out of Lebanon in the summer of 1982 during the Israeli invasion, she subsequently moved to the United States to continue her education. She has been living in Cyprus since 2001.

For contemporary Palestinian authors in the West, the expulsion from their homeland resulted in physical dislocation as well as linguistic, generational and cultural differences with writers in the Middle East. ArabAmerican authors are addressing the need to translate their configurations of identity into language, and this language is usually English. Lisa Suhair Majaj extensively studied the ArabAmerican literature in her PhD thesis at the University of Michigan; her poetry, essays and book reviews have been published in a variety of international journals and anthologies. According to Lisa Suhair Majaj, the new generation is trying to create an ArabAmerican literary space and genre that places their Arab heritage in an American context.

The question of having different options for national and cultural belonging is also a crucial issue in the scientific and literary writings of Nathalie Handal. Having Palestinian parents and being raised in Paris, Boston, the West Indies and Latin America can make ethnicity a complex question, explains poet Nathalie Handal: “I feel I’m a Bostonian Parisian” she said in a broadcast interview, noting that she had most recently lived in London and now calls New York City her home. Nathalie Handal reflected in this radio talk on the different layers of cultural perception; she explained that Arabs often consider her an American since she writes in English and does not speak fluent Arabic. On the other hand, Americans, when they learn about her background, consider her an Arab. But in Handal’s perception, an Arab is anyone of Arab descent who chooses that label. Nathalie Handal also lamented the confusion many Americans from the U.S. display about the rest of the world. When she tells people that her family comes from Bethlehem, she said they may respond, “‘Bethlehem, Pennsylvania?’ I’d say, ‘Palestine’, and they’d say, ‘Oh, Pakistan’. It’s amazing”.

Poet, playwright, writer, editor, critic and literary activist, Nathalie Handal is the editor of The Poetry of Arab Women: A Contemporary
Anthology (Handal 2001), an Academy of American Poets bestseller and winner of the Pen Oakland/Josephine Miles Award. Handal is presently editing two anthologies that might be interesting for research in our field, namely Dominican Literature and ArabAmerican Literature, and co-editing along with Tina Chang and Ravi Shankar, Risen from East: An Anthology of South Asian, East Asian and Middle Eastern Poets.

Originally from Bogotá, Colombia, the writer Luis Fayad joined the second ArabAmerican meeting in Berlin in June 2005. Within the group of Colombian writers who began to publish their books in the middle of the publishing boom of Gabriel García Márquez, Luis Fayad occupies a central place. Luis Fayad was born in Bogotá, but he spent most of his life outside Colombia, living in Paris, Barcelona, and finally Berlin. The grandparents of this author emigrated from Lebanon to Colombia, but while his parents still learnt to speak Arabic, he did not. He had to leave Colombia and come to Berlin to start learning Arabic in Germany ...

Some fascinating insights into the world of Argentinean-Syrian cultural entanglements have been contributed by Susana Romano Sued from Córdoba, Argentina. Born as a daughter of a Syrian mother and an Argentinean father, Susana Romano Sued, due to the dictatorship in Argentina, settled in Germany, where she got her PhD with a thesis on the poetry of Gottfried Benn and the translations of his work into Spanish. Back in Argentina, she dealt abundantly with the inheritance and traditional customs of the Argentinean Middle East in her outstanding poetic and literary texts.

This volume is – as already mentioned above – based on contributions made during two workshops at the Institute for Advanced Studies at Berlin and a lecture series at various research institutions and universities in Berlin-Brandenburg. These contributions were made by researchers in Romance and Middle Eastern Studies, by journalists and creative writers. This volume intends to keep the lively and highly stimulating atmosphere during these cross-cultural and multilingual meetings. This is why academic studies elaborated in ArabAmericas will be found side by side with more personal statements based on

17 See her article “The Castilian Language, a Mosaic of Languages: An Exercise of the Memory as a Genealogy and Archaeology of Culture” in this volume.
individual memories and experience. This consciously chosen open structure has also been considered most appropriate regarding the system of transcribing Arab proper names and technical terms in Latin script. As many proper names of Arab descent started to lead an independent existence in between different national communities throughout the decades, it would have been rather artificial and far off every day’s transcultural practice to re-transcribe them back to their linguistic roots. Therefore, we did not try to standardize the transcription system in the various articles of this volume. Consistency is to be found only within each single article, not in the anthology as a whole.

We would like to express our gratitude to the Institute for Advanced Study at Berlin, the Ibero-American Institute Prussian Heritage Foundation, the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), the Forschungsverbund Lateinamerika Berlin-Brandenburg and the University of Potsdam for funds and travel grants. Our special thanks go to Felicitas Hentschke and Christine Hofmann (Berlin) as well as to Gabriele Penquitt, Ulrike Zieger, Marcel Vejmelka and Mark Minnes (Potsdam) for invaluable help and good spirits.

The Editors
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1. World Literature and Mobile Specialization

In his 1952 festschrift essay with the programmatic title “Philologie der Weltliteratur” (“Philology of World Literature”), Erich Auerbach – author of Mimesis: Dargestellte Wirklichkeit in der abendländischen Literatur (Mimesis: The Representation of Reality in Western Literature), composed between May 1942 and April 1945 in his Istanbul exile – sketched the outlines of a philology that in the wake of the Second World War would elucidate the “profound changes in the general condition of life”, and afford “insight into their total significance” and suggest ‘the practical consequences’ to be drawn therefrom (Auerbach 1967: 302). Important for this German-Jewish emigrant – who starting in 1947 taught Romance languages and literature at different renowned universities in the United States – was a critical development of Goethe’s concept of Weltliteratur (world literature), which, as Auerbach well knew, was in large part conceived by Germany’s national poet as a foil to the dominant concept of national literature. Goethe’s remark of 31 January 1827 was paradigmatic: “Nationaleitung will jetzt nicht viel sagen, die Epoche der Weltliteratur ist an der Zeit, und jeder muß jetzt dazu wirken, diese Epoche zu beschleunigen” (Eckermann 1981: 211). There can be no doubt that it was Erich Auerbach’s concern, against the backdrop of the historical events of his time, to make his own contribution to a new era of world literature.

In doing so, he was conscious of the fact that historically speaking the “epoch of Goethean humanism” (Auerbach 1967: 302) had been

1 “eingreifende Veränderung der allgemeinen Lebensvoraussetzungen”.
2 “in ihrer ganzen Bedeutung erkennen”.
3 See Meyer-Kalkus (unpublished paper).
4 “National literature has not much relevance today, the epoch of world literature is now dawning, and everyone should do what he can to accelerate its arrival”.
very short-lived and that there were large and perhaps irreconcilable
differences between the amount of knowledge that the author of Faust
personally possessed and that which the state of research had attained
by the mid-twentieth century:

Was Goethe am Ende seines Lebens von den Literaturen der Welt, ver-
gangenem und gegenwärtigen, zu Gebote stand, war viel im Verhältnis zu
dem, was zur Zeit seiner Geburt davon bekannt war; es ist sehr wenig,

But how can any future philology do justice to this steadily growing
mountain of facts, to what already in Auerbach’s time was a tremen-
duously expanded store of knowledge, if philology should wish to treat
that which – based on its claim to be an “historical discipline” – it
must recognize as the “inner history of the last millennia”, namely
“the history of humanity’s successful progress toward self-expression”
(Auerbach 1967: 303). The unmanageable flood of data long ago
heightened the pressure toward specialization in the area of philology:

Wer sich nicht konsequent auf ein enges Spezialgebiet und auf die Be-
griffswelt eines kleinen Kreises von Fachgenossen beschränkt, der lebt in
einem Getümmel von Ansprüchen und Eindrücken, denen gerecht zu
werden nahezu unmöglich ist. Und doch wird es immer unbefriedigen-
der, sich nur mit einem Spezialgebiet zu befassen; wer heute etwa ein
Provenzalist sein will und nichts anderes beherrscht als die einschlägigen
Teile der Linguistik, der Paläographie und der Zeitgeschichte, der ist
kaum auch nur noch ein guter Provenzalist (Auerbach 1967: 303).

Thus did Erich Auerbach state the central dilemma confronting not
only philology, the arts and humanities but also the natural sciences.
He recognized at the same time that if philology did not wish to cede a
good bit of its social relevance, then specialization in solely one disci-

---

5 “The amount of world literature, both past and present, at Goethe’s disposal
toward the end of his life was great in relation to what was known at his birth; it
is very little by comparison with what we presently possess”.
6 “die innere Geschichte der letzten Jahrtausende [...] die Geschichte der zum
Selbstausdruck gelangten Menschheit”.
7 “Those who would not severely restrict themselves to a narrow and specialized
field and to the conceptual world of a small circle of specialist colleagues, live
amid a turmoil of claims and counterclaims and impressions to which it is nearly
impossible to render full justice. And yet it becomes increasingly less satisfying
to occupy oneself with only a single specialty; whoever today would be a specialist
in Provençal studies and has mastered nothing more than the pertinent con-
temporary history and the relevant linguistic and paleographic aspects, can hardly
even be called a good Provençal specialist”.

pline would be insufficient. The necessity for a – as we today would formulate it – transdisciplinary orientation spanning various specialty fields was thus proclaimed; and this at the same time draws attention to the fact that already in Auerbach’s time the pressure to specialize was of a double nature. For on the one hand it obliged scholars to undertake research within the constantly differentiating or – as Auerbach often stated it – ramifying disciplines, while at the same time there also existed pressure toward forms of specialization that endeavored to cut across disciplinary boundaries. How else could Auerbach himself have been able to tackle so self-evident and yet at the same time so bold and even audacious a project\(^8\) as an investigation into “The Representation of Reality in Western Literature?”. Specialization is therefore – in an extension of Auerbach’s proposed “Philology of World Literature” – to be interpreted as a mobile concept, as a term of movement, and not exclusively as disciplinary “ramification” and a disciplined one-way street. Or as Auerbach formulated it during his teaching stint at Yale:

> Es handelt sich also um Spezialisierung; aber nicht um Spezialisierung gemäß den überkommenen Einteilungen des Stoffes, sondern um eine jeweils dem Gegenstand angemessene, und daher immer wieder neu aufzufindende (Auerbach 1967: 309).\(^9\)

Thus does specialization have not only a progressive metaphorical function in proceeding from the “general” to the “particular”, from the “broad” to the “narrow”, or even from the “superficial” to the “deep”, but it can carry out the most diverse movements so long as these are adequate to the specific construction of the object and are verifiable in their discursive design. And the specializations making for creative and innovative scholarship are precisely those that cut across the “conventional divisions of the material”. For scholarly creativity – according to brain specialist Wolf Singer – can be described as the capacity “to see together what has never been seen together before” (Singer 2003: 108).\(^{10}\) But specialization in an area not yet seen together (and not merely written together) in its entirety demands an

\(^8\) See Ette (2004a: 57-96).

\(^9\) “We are speaking here of specialization; though not according to conventional divisions of the material but rather a specialization that is always appropriate to the respective object and which is therefore always to be newly discovered”.

\(^{10}\) “etwas zusammenzusehen, was bisher noch nicht zusammengesehen worden ist”.
equal measure of flexibility with regard to the objects and the methods of researching them, and still more relational mobility as well as a mobility that puts things into a relation with one another.

Auerbach – who was born in Berlin in 1892 and died in the U.S.A. in 1957 – deeply regretted that precisely that “which earlier epochs ventured to determine, namely man’s place in the universe”, had long been “remote” from the research agenda of his time (Auerbach 1967: 310). Thus may one without exaggeration assert that this scholar of Romance languages and literatures was preoccupied with achieving a world consciousness in the fullest sense – a world consciousness whose emergence would be assisted by Auerbach’s concept of a philology of world literature. This also explains why in the last section of his essay Auerbach issued a programmatic call for a philology that was not restricted to a one-sided specialization or one that was understood as such:

Jedenfalls aber ist unsere philologische Heimat die Erde; die Nation kann es nicht mehr sein. Gewiß ist noch immer das Kostbarste und Unentbehrlichste, was der Philologe ererbt, Sprache und Bildung seiner Nation; doch erst in der Trennung, in der Überwindung wird es wirksam. Wir müssen, unter veränderten Umständen, zurückkehren zu dem, was die vornationale mittelalterliche Bildung schon besaß; zu der Erkenntnis, daß der Geist nicht national ist (Auerbach 1967: 310).

Unmistakable in this passage is the degree to which the experience of exile, of “separation”, entered into the concepts and research of a scholar whose most important work emerged from the experience of

---

11 “was frühere Epochen wagten, nämlich im Universum den Ort der Menschen zu bestimmen”.

12 See Ette (2002) as well as (2004b). Erich Auerbach was well aware of the semantical multi-layeredness of the “world” concept, and rightfully pointed out the “great task of making people conscious of their own history; and yet this is so very insignificant, even a renunciation, when one calls to mind that we are not only on earth but in the world, in the universe” (“große Aufgabe, die Menschen in ihrer eigenen Geschichte ihrer selbst bewußt zu machen; und doch sehr klein, schon ein Verzicht, wenn man daran denkt, daß wir nicht nur auf der Erde sind, sondern in der Welt, im Universum” [Auerbach 1967: 310]).

13 “In any event, our philological homeland is the earth; the nation can no longer make that claim. Certainly the most precious and indispensable thing that the philologist inherits is the language and culture of his nation; yet it can only be effective in its separation therefrom, in its surmounting of it. Amidst changed circumstances, we must return to that which the pre-national medieval culture already possessed; we must return to the realization that the mind is not national”.
migration and exile and was only successful because this forced change of place in the universe made him particularly sensitive to both the world-relevant and worldwide dimension of literature. Erich Auerbach knew full well the extent to which any philology so conceived would be necessarily exposed to the "tumult of claims and counter-claims and impressions" ("Getümmel von Ansprüchen und Eindrücken" [Auerbach 1967: 303]), while being simultaneously aware that the renunciation of such a transversal and transdisciplinary definition of the task of philology would ultimately bring about its implosion, its uncreative surrender and descent into meaninglessness. For renouncing to the tumult of claims could only imply renouncing to any claim of its own of being effectual beyond ever more narrowly drawn disciplinary parameters, as well as to the claim of investigating the current place of man in the universe. It was here that Auerbach felt an obligation to "the passionate disposition that drives an albeit small number (as always) of gifted and original young individuals to take up philological-intellectual history", and he had no doubt as to its "significance and future" ("Sinn und Zukunft" [Auerbach 1967: 302]).

The meaning and consequences of Auerbach’s ground-breaking attempt to plot a new direction for philology can be as little overlooked as his partial misreading (from our present-day vantage point) in the sphere of literature and culture – a misreading which, from an U.S.-American postwar perspective, was completely understandable, but which presupposed a rapidly increasing planetary homogenization that entailed the phenomenon of "our earth, which is the world of world literature", becoming ever "smaller" and experiencing a diminution in "variety" (Auerbach 1967: 301). The author of Mimesis knew himself to be in accord with his contemporaries as well as with contemporary scholarship when he expressed his fear that a global de-differentiation process might iron out and remove any cultural distinctions and developmental processes. What others greeted as a desirable standardization was seen by Auerbach as a fundamental threat to cul-

14 See the work of Edward Said in connection with his translation of Auerbach, namely Auerbach (1969).
15 "leidenschaftlichen Neigung, die nach wie vor eine zwar geringe, aber durch Begabung und Originalität ausgezeichnete Anzahl junger Menschen zur philologisch-geistigesgeschichtlichen Tätigkeit treibt".
16 "Unsere Erde, die die Welt der Weltliteratur ist".
tural diversity — in particular when it came to world literature. For “thousands of reasons known to everyone”, asserted Auerbach, “the life of people everywhere on the planet” was becoming standardized and was marked by the same “modern ways of life” that had their point of origin in Europe (Auerbach 1967: 301). But did life and ways of life actually grow ineluctably more uniform on a global scale? The half century since the publication of Auerbach’s pioneering essay has shown us to what degree the still observable homogenization process is accompanied and counter-balanced by an opposing development of cultural heterogenization. This highly complex double movement has made the question as to the coexistence of different cultures in the world the (survival) question of the twenty-first century. Today there is no real call for us to inure ourselves to Auerbach’s notion “that on a uniformly organized earth only a single literary culture — indeed, in a comparatively short period of time, only a few literary languages, soon perhaps only one — could remain alive” (Auerbach 1967: 301).17 Would this analysis correspond to actual developments, then in fact “the notion of a world literature would at once be realized and destroyed” (Auerbach 1967: 301).18 The reduction to a Singular would take the place of concretely experienced diversity.

Such a viewpoint, of course, is not in itself sufficient. Against the backdrop of the current fourth phase of accelerated globalization, it is necessary to keep in view the manifold world-literary developments and to focus above all on those dynamic processes that have gone largely unobserved or have been considered irrelevant and marginal, enfolded as they are within the hitherto bipolar and antagonistic distinction between world and national literature. For the question as to what can be preserved of the diversity should not be directed at the rather static concept of national literature, whose process is considered chiefly as a relatively autonomous (national) history that is to be addressed and dealt with by individual disciplines specializing therein; but rather, in the face of an immobile administrative philology, it is necessary to depict as fundamentally complex processes of movement

17 “daß auf einer einheitlich organisierten Erde nur eine einzige literarische Kultur, ja selbst in vergleichsweise kurzer Zeit nur wenige literarische Sprachen, bald vielleicht nur eine, als lebend übrigbleiben”.

18 “[wäre] der Gedanke der Weltliteratur — in einem höchst reduzierten und Goethe fernen Sinne — zugleich verwirklicht und zerstört”. 
those literary networks that cut across linguistic, national and disciplinary lines and which are no longer adequately represented by individual philologies, particularly when they devote themselves to a single language or literature. The complexity of these literary networks cannot be carved up into a sum of its individual parts, for the movement, the vectorial component, cannot be "filtered out".

But such movement and network processes are not simply to be classified as a national literature or the world literature. Placed next to (and sometimes in opposition to) the Singular – i.e. the supposed singularity and static identity – of such concepts, we have to develop dynamic concepts of movement within the framework of mobile specialization. Thus, in what follows, our concern is not with a philology of world literature but a relational investigation within the framework of a philology of world literature. World literature, however, can be seen as neither the sum of national literatures nor as a world literature solely shaped by homogenization processes. National literary, linguistic, and disciplinary lines of demarcation should neither be lost sight of nor expunged from the investigation; world literature, in its vectorial multi-dimensionality, should be conceived within a discontinuous and post-Euclidean fractal space. Important in the context of a (yet to be configured) fractal geometry of world literature are not so much the boundaries and lines of demarcation as the methodologies and communication forms, and less the territorial than the trajectorial and vectorial dimension from a transregional, transnational and transareal perspective. From such a precise, multivalent and simultaneously mobile vantage point, one could succeed in seizing the new differentiation processes as well as the continuing de-differentiation processes in such a way that between (and beyond the sharp contrasts of) homogenization and heterogenization the creative scope of world literature in its vectorial dimension is recognizable. Then the philologies would transcend the mere administration of literature and make a contribution to helping define man’s place and existence in the universe.

---

19 According to Friedrich Cramer, apart from relative unpredictability and a basic irreversibility of all processes, fundamentally complex systems possess the character trait "that the whole is more than the sum of its parts" (see Cramer 1996: 223).

20 The problems entailed by such a procedure can be seen in the rather carefree Francocentric study of Pascale Casanova (1999).