Inhalt

Allgemeine, akademische, zitierbare Grammatiken 2
Allgemeine Einführungen in die Grammatiktheorie 2
Argumente, Subjekte, Ellipse der Argumente 2
Aspekt 3
Definitheit, Referenzialität 3
Dialektologie 3
Diathese: Passiv 4
Diathese: Reflexivität, Antikausativ, „Middle voice“ 5
Genus 6
Grammatikalisierung, Sprachgeschichte 6
Informationsstruktur (Topik, Fokus), interaktionale Linguistik 7
Kaschubisch 8
Kasus 8
Klitika 9
Kongruenz 9
Korpuslinguistik 10
Modalität 10
Numerus 10
Perfekt 10
Pronomina 11
Mehrsprachigkeit 12
Sprachkontakt und Code-Switching 12
Surzhyk 15
Ukrainisch 15
Umgangsprache / Register / Soziolinguistik 15
Wortstellung 15

Allgemeine, akademische, zitierbare Grammatiken

Bartnicka, Barbara und Björn Hansen und Wojtek Klemm und Volkmar Lehmann und Halina Satkiewicz. 2004. *Grammatik des Polnischen*. München: Otto Sagner (=Slavolinguistica 5)

Klemensiewicz, Zenon und Tadeusz Lehr-Spławiński und Stanisław Urbańczyk. 1981. *Gramatyka historyczna języka polskiego*. Warszawa: PWN.

Lazik, W. 2011. *Grammatik der oberschlesischen Sprache*. www.dr-lazik.de/08-Grammatik.shtml

Lorentz, F. 1919. *Kaschubische Grammatik*. Danzig: Gedania.

Rospond, Stanisław. 2005. *Gramatyka historyczna języka polskiego z ćwiczeniami*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN.

Shvedova, N. Yu. 1980. *Russkaja Grammatika*. Moskva: NAUKA. 788+709pp.

Timberlake, Alan. 2004. A reference grammar of Russian. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wade, Terence, David Gillespie, Svetlana Gural & Marina Korneeva. 2020. *A comprehensive Russian grammar*. 4th edn. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.

Allgemeine Einführungen in die Grammatiktheorie

Kibrik, A. E., et al. 2019. *Vvedenie v nauku o jazyke.* Moskva: Buki Vedi.

Argumente, Subjekte, Ellipse der Argumente

Berdicevskis, Aleksandrs, Karsten Schmidtke-Bode & Ilja A. Seržant. 2020. Subjects tend to be coded only once: Corpus-based and grammar-based evidence for an efficiency-driven trade-off. *Proceedings of 19th International Workshop on Treebanks and Linguistic Theories*. 79-92.

Bornkessel-Schlesewsky, I. & M. Schlesewsky 2014. Competition in argument interpretation: Evidence from the neurobiology of language. In: MacWhnney, B., A. Malchukov & E. Moravcsik (eds.), *Competing motivations in grammar and usage*. OUP. 107–126.

Bresnan, J., Cueni, A., Nikitina, T., & Baayen, R. H. 2007. Predicting the dative alternation. In: G. Bouma, I. Krämer, & J. Zwarts (eds.), *Cognitive foundations of interpretation*. Amsterdam: Edita-The Publishing House of the Royal Netherlands Academy. 69–94.

Chvany Catherine V. 1996. *A Continuum of lexical transitivity: Slightly-transitive verbs*. In: Selected Essays of Catherine V. Chvany. Columbus: Slavica.

Clancy, Steven J. 2006. The Topology of Slavic Case: Semantic Maps and Multidimensional Scaling. Glossos, 7.

Danylenko, Andrij. 2005. Impersonal constructions with the accusative case in Lithuanian and Slavic (A reply to Axel Holvoet), *Zeitschrift für Slawistik* 50[2], 147-160.

Dickey. Stephen M. 2020. Time Out of Tense: Russian Aspect in the Imperative. *Journal of Linguistics* 56(3). 541–576.

Haspelmath, Martin. 2021. Explaining grammatical coding asymmetries: Form-frequency correspondencies and predictability. *Journal of Linguistics* 57(3). 605-633.

Haspelmath, Martin. 2021. Role-reference associations and the explanation of argument coding splits. *Linguistics* 59(1). 123-174.
Krasovitsky, A., Baerman, M., Brown, D. et al. 2011. Changing semantic factors in case selection: Russian evidence from the last two centuries. *Morphology* 21, 573–592.
Lambrecht, Knud. 2000. When Subjects Behave Like Objects. *Studies in Language* 24(3), 611–682.
Makarova, A., Nesset, T. 2013. Space-time asymmetries: Russian *v* 'in(to)' and the North Slavic Temporal Adverbial Continuum. *Russian Linguistics* 37, 317–345.
Seržant, Ilja A. 2019. Weak universal forces: The discriminatory function of case in differential object marking systems. In Karsten Schmidtke-Bode, Natalia Levshina, Susanne Maria Michaelis & Ilja A. Seržant (eds.), Explanation in typology: Diachronic sources, functional motivations and the nature of the evidence, 149–178. Berlin: Language Science Press

Aspekt

Arkadiev, Peter 2014: Towards an areal typology of prefixal perfectivization. Scando-Slavica 60(2), 384–405.
Czarnecki, Tomasz. 1998. *Aspektualität im Polnischen und Deutschen Bedeutungen und Formen in einer konfrontativen Übersicht*. Gdańsk: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Gdańskiego.
Eckhoff, H. M. 2018. A corpus approach to the history of Russian *po* delimitatives. *Diachronica* 35(3), 338–366.
von Waldenfels, R. 2012. Aspect in the imperative across Slavic - a corpus driven pilot study. In A. Grønn & A. Pazelskaya (eds.) *The Russian Verb*, *Oslo Studies in Language 4(1)*. 141–154.

Definitheit, Referenzialität

Ariel, Mira. 1988. Referring and Accessibility. *Journal of Linguistics* 24. 65-87.
Ariel, Mira. 2014[1990]. *Accessing Noun Phrase Antecedents.* New York: Routledge. (First edition in 1990).
Ariel, Mira. 1988. Referring and accessibility. *Journal of Linguistics* 24. 65–87.
Błaszczak, Joanna. 2001. *Investigation into the Interaction between the Indefinites and Negation.* Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
Czardybon, Adrian, Oliver Hellwig & Wiebke Petersen. 2014. Statistical Analysis of the Interaction between Word Order and Definiteness in Polish. In: Przepiórkowski, A., Ogrodniczuk, M. (eds), *Advances in Natural Language Processing. NLP 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 8686. Springer, Cham. 144-150.
Geist, Ljudmila. 2010. Bare Singular NPs in Argument Positions: Restrictions on Indefiniteness. *International Review of Pragmatics* 2(2), 191-227.

Dialektologie

Čekmonas, Valeriy. 2001. Russian varieties in the southeastern Baltic area: Urban Russian of the 19th century. In: *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact. Vol. 1.Past and Present*, Östen Dahland Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.). Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 81-100.

Daniel, Michael, Ruprecht von Waldenfels, Aleksandra Ter-Avanesova, Polina Kazakova, Ilya Schurov, Ekaterina Gerasimenko, Daria Ignatenko, Ekaterina Makhlina, Maria Tsfasman, Samira Verhees, Aleksei Vinyar, Vasilisa Zhigulaskaja, Maria Ovsjannikova, Sergey Say, Nina Dobrushina. 2019. Dialect loss in the Russian North: Modeling change across variables. Language Variation and Change, 31 (3), 353–376.

Galinskaja, Elena. 2014. Reflections on the Use of Cokanje in Russian Business Documents of the Sixteenth and the Seventeenth Centuries. In: Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars, Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Jankowiak, Mirosław. 2014. Belarusian Dialects in Latvian Latgale -Transitional or Mixed? In: Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars, Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Požarickaja, S. K. 2005. *Russkaja dialektologija*. M.: Paradigma.

Szmrecsanyi, Benedikt. 2014. Methods and Objectives in Contemporary Dialectology. In: Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars, Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars. Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS. https:.boap.uib.no/books/sb/catalog/book/7

Seržant, Ilja. 2014. The Independent Partitive Genitive in North Russian. In: Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars, Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS. 270-329.

Timberlake, Allan. 1974. The nominative object in Slavic, Baltic, and West Finnic. Munchen: Sagner.

Wiemer, Björn & Seržant, Ilja. 2014. East Slavic Dialectology: Achievements and Perspectives of Areal Linguistics. In: Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars. Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS. 11-80.

Wiemer, Björn (2003): Dialect and language contacts on the territory of the Grand Duchy of Lithuania from the 15th century until 1939. In: Braunmüller, K., Ferraresi, G. (eds.), *Aspects of Multilingualism in European Language History*. [Hamburg Studies on Multilingualism, 2]. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: Benjamins, 105-143.

Wiemer, Björn (2004): Population linguistics on a micro-scale. Lessons to be learnt from Baltic and Slavic dialects in contact. In: Kortmann, Bernd (ed.), *Dialectology Meets Typology (Dialect Grammar from a Cross-Linguistic Perspective)*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 497-526.

Diathese: Passiv

Bondarko, A. V. 2001. *Aktivnost‘ i passivnost‘*. Teorija funktional’noj grammatiki. Personal’nost‘. Zalogovost‘. SPb.

Haspelmath, Martin. 1990. The grammaticization of passive morphology. *Studies in Language* 14(1). 25-71.
Haspelmath, Martin. 1994. Passive participles across languages. In: Fox, Barbara & Hopper, Paul J. (eds.) *Voice: Form and function*. (Typological Studies in Language, 27.) Amsterdam: Benjamins, 151-177.
Haspelmath, M., forthc. Valency and voice constructions. (Elements in Construction Grammar). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (https:.ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/005941)
Haspelmath, M., forthc. Passive constructions: Universals and language description. To appear in an edited volume, ed. by Anindita Sahoo et al. (https:.ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/008340)
Kazenin, Konstantin. 2001. The passive voice. In: Martin Haspelmath, Ekkehard König, Wulf Oesterreicher, Wolfgang Raible (eds.), *Language Typology and Language Universals*. Vol. 2. Berlin; N.Y. 899-915.
Kemmer, Suzanne. 1993. *The middle voice.* Amsterdam–Philadelphia: Benjamins.
Kibort, Anna. 2001. The Polish passive and impersonal in Lexical Mapping Theory. W: M., Butt i T. H., King (red.) *Proceedings of the LFG01 Conference* (s. 263–283). Stanford: CSLI Publications.
Kibort, A. (2008). Impersonals in Polish: An LFG perspective. *Transactions of the Philological Society 106*, 246–289.
Knjazev Ju. P. 1989. *Akcional'nost' i statal'nost': ih sootnošenie v russkih konstrukcijah s pričastijami na -n, -t.* München: Otto Sagner Verlag.
1989.Lehmann, Volkmar. 2011. Tempus und „Passiv“ im Polnischen (und Slavischen). *Wiener Slawistischer Almanach* 67. 159-175.
Levine, James S. 2010. On the status of Russian Perfective Passives in *-sja*. Russian Language Journal 60. 37-51. (https:.www.jstor.org/stable/43669174?seq=15)
Zel'dovič G. M. 2010. Sintetičeskij passiv soveršennogo vida na -sja: počemu ego (počti) net? *Voprosy jazykoznania* 2. 3–36.

Diathese: Reflexivität, Antikausativ, „Middle voice“

Knjazev Ju. P. 2007. Reciprocals in Russian. In: Nedjalkov V. P. et al. (Eds.) Amsterdam, Philadelphia: Benjamins. 673–708.
Letuchiy A. B. 2010. Double reciprocals in Russian: What do they really mean and why. In: Zybatow G., Dudchuk Ph., Minor S., Pshehotskaya E. (Eds.) Formal Studies in Slavic Linguistics [Linguistik International, 25]. Frankfurt-am-Mein: Peter Lang.
Letučij A. B. 2006. Labil'nost' v russkom jazyke: slučajnost' ili zakonomernost'? *Materialy konferencii «Dialog-2006»* 2006. 343–347.
Letučij, Aleksander B. 2016. Vozvratnost’. In: Dobrušina, N.R., Letučij, S. S. Say, Je.V. Padučeva (eds.), *Materialy k kuropusnoj grammatike russkogo jazyka*. Teil 1. 268-340.
Padučeva E. V. 2001. Kauzativnye glagoly i dekauzativy v russkom jazyke. *Russkij jazyk v naučnom osveščenii* 1. 52–79.
Saj S. S. 2007. Pragmatičeski obuslovlennye vozvratnye konstrukcii «opuŝennogo ob"ekta» v russkom jazyke . Voprosy jazykoznanija 2. 75–91.

Saj S. S. 2010. Dva podhoda k semantike russkih refleksivnyh glagolov. Vydrin V. F., Dmitrenko S. Û., Zaika N. M., Sumbatova N. R., Hrakovskij V. S. (Red.), *Problemy grammatiki i tipologii. Sb. statej pamjati V. P. Nedjalkova*. M.: Znak. 303–318.

Saj S. S., Goto K. V. 2009. Častotnye harakteristiki klassov russkih refleksivnyh glagolov . Plungjan V. A., Rahilina E. V., Tatevosov S. G., Kiseleva K. L. (Red.) Korpusnye issledovanija po russkoj grammatike. M.: Probel-2000. 184–223.

Genus

Corbett, Greville G. 2010. Gender in Russian: an account of gender specification and its relationship to declension. Russian Linguistics 6(2). 197-232.

Grammatikalisierung, Sprachgeschichte

Andersen, Henning. 1987. From auxiliary to desinence: Historical development ofauxiliaries. In: M. Harris & P. Ramat (Hrsg.), *Historical development of auxiliaries.* 21‑52. De Gruyter Mouton.

Andersen, Henning. 2006. Periphrastic futures in Slavic: Divergence and convergence. In: K. Eksell and T. Vinther (eds.), *Change in Verbal Systems: Issues in Explanation*. 9–45. Bern: Peter Lang.

Błaszczak, J., Klimek-Jankowska, D., & Migdalski, K. 2014. The riddle of the "future tense" in Polish: How much "future" is there in the "future tense"?

Bybee, J. L., W. Pagliuca and R. D. Perkins. 1994. *The Evolution of Grammar: Tense, Aspect, and Modality in the Languages of the World*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Hansen, Björn. 2004. The boundaries of grammaticalization. The case of modals in Russian, Polish and Serbian/Croatian. In What makes grammaticalization? A look from its fringes and its components, Bisang Walter, Nikolaus P. Himmelmann, and Björn Wiemer (eds.), 245-271. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Haspelmath, Martin. 2011. The gradual coalescence into "words" in grammaticalization.

Heine, Bernd. 1993. *Auxiliaries: Cognitive Forces and Grammaticalization.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Heine, B. and T. Kuteva. 2002. *World Lexicon of Grammaticalization.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Heine, Bernd and Tania Kuteva. 2007. *The Genesis of Grammar.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Korotkova, N. A. and S. S. Saj. 2006. "Glagol stat′ v russkom jazyke: semantika, sintaksis,grammatikalizacija." In Tret′ja konferencija po tipologii i grammatike dlja molodychissledovatelej (Sankt-Peterburg, 2–4 nojabrja 2006g.): Materialy, edited by A. P.Vydrin, D. V. Gerasimov, S. Ju. Dmitrenko, N. M. Zaika and S. S. Saj, 96–101. StPetersburg: Nestor-istorija.

Lehmann, Christian. 2002. *Thoughts on Grammaticalization.* Vol. 2. (revised edition). (Arbeitspapiere des Seminars für Sprachwissenschaft der Universität Erfurt, No. 9. Erfurt. (Kann auf dieser Seite heruntergeladen werden: https:.www.christianlehmann.eu/?open=schriftenverzeichnis)

Penkova, Yana. A. 2021. Middle Russian Future Periphrastic Constructions in the Light of Language Contacts. *Scando-Slavica*, *67*(1), 43–64.

Wiemer, Björn. 2014. „Umbau des Partizipialsystems“ In *Die slavischen Sprachen/ The Slavic Languages. Ein internationales Handbuch zu ihrer Struktur, ihrer Geschichte und ihrer Erforschung/ An International Handbook of their Structure, their History and their Investigation. Band 2/ Volume 2*, ed. by Kempgen, Sebastian und Peter Kosta und Tilman Berger und Karl Gutschmidt, 1625-1653, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

Informationsstruktur (Topik, Fokus), interaktionale Linguistik

Chafe, Wallace. 1994. *Discourse, Consciousness, and Time*. Chicago/London: University of Chicago Press.

Czardybon, Adrian, Oliver Hellwig & Wiebke Petersen. 2014. Statistical Analysis of the Interaction between Word Order and Definiteness in Polish. In: Przepiórkowski, A., Ogrodniczuk, M. (eds), *Advances in Natural Language Processing. NLP 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 8686. Springer, Cham. 144-150.

Du Bois, John. A. 2014. Towards a dialogic syntax. *Cognitive Linguistics* 25(3), 359-410.

Lambrecht, Knud. 1994. *Information Structure and Sentence Form: topic, focus, and the mental representation of discourse referents.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Matić, Dejan & Daniel Wedgwood. 2013. The meanings of focus: The significance of an interpretation-based category in crosslinguistic analysis. Journal of Linguistics 49(1). 127–163.

Ozerov, Pavel. 2015. Information structure without topic and focus: Differential object marking in Burmese. *Studies in Language* 39(2). 386–423.

Ozerov, Pavel. 2018. Tracing the sources of information structure: Towards the study of interactional management of information. *Journal of Pragmatics* 138. 77–97.

Ozerov, Pavel. 2021. Multifactorial Information Management (MIM): summing up the emerging alternative to Information Structure. *Linguistics Vanguard* 7(1), 20200039.

Rodionova, E. 2001. Word order and information structure in Russian syntax. University of North Dakota, Master’s thesis. Available online: http:.arts-sciences.und.edu/summer-institute-of-linguistics/theses/_Vles/docs/2001-rodionova-elena.pdf

Selting, Margret & Elizabeth Couper-Kuhlen 2000. Argumente für die Entwicklung einer 'interaktionalen Linguistik 1. *Gesprächsforschung - Online-Zeitschrift zur verbalen Interaktion* 1, 76-95. (www.gespraechsforschung-ozs.de)

Sgall, Petr, Eva Hajičová & Jarmila Panevová. 1986. *The Meaning of the Sentence in Its Semantic and Pragmatic Aspects*. Dordrecht: Reidel.Siewierska, Anna & Ludmila Uhlířová. 1998. An overview of word order in Slavic languages. In A. Siewierska (ed.), *Constituent Order in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 105-150.

Tanaka, Hiroko. 2005. Grammar and the “timing” of social action: Word order and preference organization in *Japanese Language in Society* 34, 389–430.

Tanaka, Mikihiro N., Holly P. Branigan, Janet F. McLean, Martin J. Pickering. 2011. Conceptual influences on word order and voice in sentence production: Evidence from Japanese. *Journal of Memory and Language* 65(3). 318-330.

Tomlin, Russell. 1995. Focal attention, voice and word order: An experimental cross-linguistic study. In: Pamela Downing & Michael Noonan (eds), *Word order in discourse*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 517-554.

Verhagen, Arie. 2005. *Constructions of Intersubjectivity. Discourse, Syntax, and Cognition.* Oxford: OUP.

Kaschubisch

Bartelik, Piotr. 2011. „Die kaschubischen sein (bëc-) und haben (miec)-Konstruktionen aus der Sicht des Sprachwandels und im Sprachkontrast.“ In *Geschichte und Typologie der Sprachsysteme,* Hg. Kotin, Michail und Elizaveta Kotorova, 189-197, Heidelberg: Winter Verlag.

Bartelik, Piotr. 2011b. „Zum Archetyp deutsch-polnisch-kaschubischer Sprachkontakte in Bezug auf das kaschubische Lexikon in statu nascendi.“ In *Wort – Bedeutung, Sinn und Wirkung. Festschrift für*

Prof. Dr. habil. O. Prokopczuk zum 70. Geburtstag, Hg. Smolińska, Mariola, 21-30, Słupsk: Wydawnictwo Naukowe AP Słupsk.

Bartelik, Piotr. 2012. „Das slawische Substrat und das deutsche Superstrat im Kaschubischen“ In *Sprachkontakte in Zentraleuropa*, Hg. Kątny, Andrzej, 145-157, Frankfurt: Peter Lang Verlag. (=Sprach- und Kulturkontakte in Europas Mitte. Studien zur Slawistik und Germanistik. Band 1).

Bartelik, Piotr. 2014. „Wpływ języka niemieckiego na system gramatyczny kaszubszczyzny w świetle nowszych badań”.

Biskupski, Leon. 1883. *Die Sprache der Brodnitzer Kaschuben im Kreise Karthaus (West-Preussen)*.

Breza, Edward und Jerzy Treder. 1981. *Gramatyka kaszubska. Zarys popularny*. Gdańsk.

Nomachi, Motoki. 2014. “On the Kashubian Past Tense form jô bël ‘I was’ from a Language Contact Perspective” In *Slavic and German in Contact. Studies from Areal and Contrastive Linguistics*, ed. Kaczmarska, Elżbieta und Motoki Nomachi, 27-59, Sapporo: Slavic Research Center.

Popowska-Taborska, Hanna. 1988. „Język czy dialekt? Raz jeszcze o statusie kaszubszczyzny.” *Język Polski LXVIII*: 87-96.

Popowska-Taborska, Hanna. 1998. „Specyfika interferencji kaszubskodolnoniemieckich.” *Z Polskich Studiów Slawistycznych, seria IX, Językoznawstwo*: 225-230.

Popowska-Taborska, Hanna. 2000. „Język a tożsamość – casus kaszubszczyzny” In *Język a tożsamość na pograniczu kultur* Hg. Smułkowa, Elżbieta und Anna Engelkind, 171-176, Białystok.

Kasus

Arkadiev, Peter (2017): Arkad’ev, Petr. Ob’’ektnyj genetiv otricanija: areal’no-tipologičeskaja perspektiva. In: Plungian, V. A., R. V. Ron’ko, A. Ju. Urmančieva, & A. V. Cimmerling (eds.), *Differencirovannoe markirovanie argumentov: materialy k tipologii.* [Acta Linguistica Petropolitana*,* XIII, 3]. St. Petersburg: Nauka. 194-243.

Clancy, Steven J. 2006. The Topology of Slavic Case: Semantic Maps and Multidimensional Scaling. Glossos, 7.

Danylenko, Andrij (2005): Impersonal constructions with the accusative case in Lithuanian and Slavic (A reply to Axel Holvoet), *Zeitschrift für Slawistik* 50[2], 147-160.

Haspelmath, Martin. 2021. Explaining grammatical coding asymmetries: Form-frequency correspondencies and predictability. *Journal of Linguistics* 57(3). 605-633.
Jakobson, Roman. 1984. Contribution to the general theory of case: General meanings of the Russian cases. In Linda R. Waugh & Morris Halle (eds.), *Russian and Slavic grammar: Studies 1931–1981*, 59–104. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
Krasovitsky, A., Baerman, M., Brown, D. et al. 2011. Changing semantic factors in case selection: Russian evidence from the last two centuries. *Morphology* 21, 573–592.
Lavine, James. 2014. Remarks on Object Case in the North Russian Perfect. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS.
Seržant, Ilja A. 2019. Weak universal forces: The discriminatory function of case in differential object marking systems. In Karsten Schmidtke-Bode, Natalia Levshina, Susanne Maria Michaelis & Ilja A. Seržant (eds.), Explanation in typology: Diachronic sources, functional motivations and the nature of the evidence, 149–178. Berlin: Language Science Press
Seržant, Ilja A. (2014): The Independent Partitive Genitive in North Russian. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS. 270-329.
Seržant, Ilja A. (2015a): Dative experiencer constructions as a Circum-Baltic isogloss. In: P. Arkadiev, A. Holvoet, B. Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Baltic Linguistics.* De Gruyter. 325-348.
Seržant, Ilja A. (2015b): Independent partitive as a Circum-Baltic isogloss, *Journal Language Contact* 8, 341-418.
Stolz, Thomas (2001): On Circum-Baltic instrumentals and comitatives: To and fro coherence. In: *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact. Vol. 2. Grammar and Typology*, Östen Dahland Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 591-614.
Timberlake, Allan. 1974. The nominative object in Slavic, Baltic, and West Finnic. Munchen: Sagner.
Wiemer, Björn (2000): Diffusität und Synkretismus in der diachronen Syntax. Ein Klärungsversuch anhand von Kasussystemen, insbesondere der Objektmarkierung im Nordslavischen und Litauischen. In: Breu, Walter (ed.): *Slavistische Linguistik XXV. (Referate des XXV. Konstanzer Slavistischen Arbeitstreffens, Konstanz 7.-10.9.1999).* (= [Slavistische Beiträge 396]. München: Sagner, 277-313.

Klitika

Franks, Steven & Tracy Holloway King. 2000. *A Handbook of Slavic Clitics*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Kongruenz

Berdicevskis, Aleksandrs, Karsten Schmidtke-Bode & Ilja A. Seržant. 2020. Subjects tend to be coded only once: Corpus-based and grammar-based evidence for an

efficiency-driven trade-off. *Proceedings of 19th International Workshop on Treebanks and Linguistic Theories*. 79-92.
Corbett, Greville G. 2010. Gender in Russian: an account of gender specification and its relationship to declension. Russian Linguistics 6(2). 197-232.
Greville G. Corbett. 2010. Problems in the Syntax of Slavonic Numerals. The Slavonic and East European Review 56 (1). 1-12.

Korpuslinguistik

Eckhoff, H. M. 2018. A corpus approach to the history of Russian *po* delimitatives. *Diachronica* 35(3), 338–366.
Janda L. A., Solovyev V. A. 2009. What constructional profiles reveal about synonymy: A case study of Russian words for SADNESS and HAPPINESS. *Cognitive linguistics* 20(2): 367–393.
Krasovitsky, A., Baerman, M., Brown, D. et al. 2011. Changing semantic factors in case selection: Russian evidence from the last two centuries. *Morphology* 21, 573–592.
Stefanowitsch A., Gries S. Th. 2003. Collostructions: Investigating the interaction of words and constructions". *International journal of corpus linguistics* 8: 209–43.
Stefanowitsch, A. 2020. Corpus linguistics: A guide to the methodology. (Textbooks in Language Sciences 7). Berlin: Language Science Press.
von Waldenfels, R. 2012. Aspect in the imperative across Slavic - a corpus driven pilot study. In A. Grønn & A. Pazelskaya (eds.) *The Russian Verb*, *Oslo Studies in Language 4(1)*. 141–154.

Modalität

Hansen, Björn & Petr Karlík (eds.). 2005. Modality in Slavonic Languages. New Perspectives. München: Otto Sagner. Nomachi, Motoki (ed.). Grammaticalization in Slavic Languages: From Areal and Typological Perspectives. [=Slavic Eurasian studies, 23]. Sapporo: Slavic research center, Hokkaido University.

Numerus

Greville G. Corbett. 2010. Problems in the Syntax of Slavonic Numerals. The Slavonic and East European Review 56 (1). 1-12.

Perfekt

Kątny, Andrzej. 2011. „Zum possessiven Resultativ in ausgewählten slawischen Sprachen" In *Geschichte und Typologie der Sprachsysteme,* Hg. Kotin, Michail und Elizaveta Kotorova, 181-189, Heidelberg: Winter Verlag.
Kuroda, Susumu. 1999. *Die historische Entwicklung der Perfektkonstruktionen im Deutschen*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
Migdalski, Krzysztof. 2007. On the Grammaticalization of the 'have'-perfect in Slavic. In: Comption, Golędzinowska & Savchenko (eds.), *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics 15: The Toronto Meeting.* 228-244. Michigan: Michigan Slavic Publications.

Piskorz, Jadwiga und Werner Abraham und Elisabeth Leiss. 2013. „Doppelter Grammatikalisierungzyklus und funktionale Universalgrammatik. Am Beispiel des analytischen Perfekts und des Präteritums in der Sprachgeschichte im Polnischen.“ *Die Welt der Slaven LVIII* : 276-307.

Pozharickaja, Sofija. 2014. О лингвогеографических параметрах функционирования причастных форм в русских говорах. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Erker, Aksana. 2014. Способы выражения прошедшего времени в белорусском смешанном говоре на балто-славянском пограничье. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Lavine, James. 2014. Remarks on Object Case in the North Russian Perfect. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Jung, Hakyung. 2014. Conditioning Factors in the Development of the -vši Perfect in West Russian. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Markova. Nina. 2014. On the Problem of Syntactic Synonyms in a Local Dialect System. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Nomachi, Motoki. 2011. “The recipient-passive construction and its grammaticalization in Kashubian” In *Studien zu Sprache und Literatur bei den Slaven. Gedenkschrift für George Y. Shevelov zum 100. Geburtstag*, Danylenko, Andrii und Serhii Vakulenko (Hg.), 109-135, München: Otto Sagner.

Nomachi, Motoki. 2014. “On the Kashubian Past Tense form jô bël ‘I was’ from a Language Contact Perspective” In *Slavic and German in Contact. Studies from Areal and Contrastive Linguistics*, ed. Kaczmarska, Elżbieta und Motoki Nomachi, 27-59, Sapporo: Slavic Research Center.

Seržant, Ilja A. 2012. The so-called possessive perfect in North Russian and the Circum-Baltic area. A diachronic and areal approach, *Lingua* 122, 356-385.

Wiemer, Björn & Markus Giger. 2005. *Resultativa in den nordslavischen und baltischen Sprachen (Bestandsaufnahme unter arealen und grammatikalisierungstheoretischen Gesichtspunkten).* [LINCOM Studies in Language Typology, 10]. München, Newcastle: LINCOM Europa.

Pronomina

Kibrik, Andrej. A. 1996. Anaphora in Russian narrative prose: A cognitive account. In: B. Fox (ed.), *Studies in Anaphora*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Xolodilova, Marija A. 2013. *Pozicionnye svojstva mestoimenij v russkom jazyke.* Vypusknaja kvalifikacionnaja rabota magistra russkoj filologii. University of St. Petersburg.

Mehrsprachigkeit

Birkenland et al., forthc. REJECTING NATIVENESS TO PRODUCE A MORE ACCURATE AND JUST LINGUISTICS. https:.osf.io/preprints/psyarxiv/ektmf

Sprachkontakt und Code-Switching

Arkadiev, Peter 2014: Towards an areal typology of prefixal perfectivization. Scando-Slavica 60(2), 384–405.

Arkadiev, Peter (2017): Arkad'ev, Petr. Ob''ektnyj genetiv otricanija: areal'no-tipologičeskaja perspektiva. In: Plungian, V. A., R. V. Ron'ko, A. Ju. Urmančieva, & A. V. Cimmerling (eds.), *Differencirovannoe markirovanie argumentov: materialy k tipologii.* [Acta Linguistica Petropolitana*,* XIII, 3]. St. Petersburg: Nauka. 194-243.

Arkadiev, Peter 2018: Borrowed prefixes and the limits of contact-induced change in aspectual systems. In: Rosanna Benacchio, Alessio Muro & Svetlana Slavkova (eds.), The Role of Prefixes in the Formation of Aspectuality. Issues of Grammaticalization. (Biblioteca di Studi Slavistici 39) Firenze: Firenze University Press. 1–21.

Arkadiev, Peter & Kirill Kozhanov [forthcoming]. Object partitive of negation: An areal perspective. In: Björn Wiemer & Peter Arkadiev (eds.), Convergence and divergence in the eastern Circum-Baltic Area (A triangulation approach). Berlin: de Gruyter.

Breu, Walter (1994): Der Faktor Sprachkontakt in einer dynamischen Typologie des Slavischen. In: H.-R. Mehlig (ed.), *Slavistische Linguistik* 1993. München. 41–64.

Bužarovska, Eleni. 2020. The Contact Hypothesis Revised: DOM in the South Slavic Periphery. *Journal of language contact* 13, 57–95.

Campbell, Lyle. 1994. Grammar: Typological and Areal Issues. In: Asher, R. E. (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*, Vol. 3., 1471-1474.

Čekmonas, Valeriy (2001): Russian varieties in the southeastern Baltic area: Urban Russian of the 19th century. In: *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact. Vol. 1.Past and Present*, Östen Dahland Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.). Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 81-100.

Dahl, Östen (2001): Principles of Areal Typology. In: Martin Haspelmath, Ekkehard König, Wulf Oesterreicher & Wolfgang Raible (eds.), *Language typology and language universals : an international handbook*. Berlin: De Gruyter. 1456-1470.

Dahl, Östen & Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm 1992: *Language typology around the Baltic Sea: a problem inventory*. Papers from the Institute of Linguistics, University of Stockholm 61.

Danylenko, Andrij (2005): Impersonal constructions with the accusative case in Lithuanian and Slavic (A reply to Axel Holvoet), *Zeitschrift für Slawistik* 50[2], 147-160.

Drinka, Bridget (2017): *Language Contact in Europe: The Periphrastic Perfect through History*. (2017). Cambridge University Press.

Falkenhahn, V. (1963): Die Bedeutung der Verbalrektion für das Problem eines litauisch-polnischen Sprachbundes, *Zeitschrift für Slawistik* 8, 893–907.

Friedman, Victor A. (2008): Balkan Object Reduplication in Areal and Dialectological Perspective. In: D. Kallulli and L. Tasmowski (eds.), *Clitic Doubling in the Balkan Languages*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 25-63.

Haspelmath, Martin (2001): The European linguistic area: Standard Average European. In: Martin Haspelmath, Ekkehard König, Wulf Oesterreicher& Wolfgang Raible (eds.), *Language typology and language universals: An international handbook.* Vol. II. [Handbücher zur Sprach- und Kommunikationswissenschaft, 20.2]. New York: Walter de Gruyter. 1492–1510.

Heine, Bernd (2012): On polysemy copying and grammaticalization in language contact. In: Chamoreau, Claudine & Isabelle Léglise (eds.), *Cross-linguistic tendencies in Contact-induced change: A typological approach based on morphosyntactic studies.* Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 125-166.

Jankowiak, Mirosław. 2014. Belarusian Dialects in Latvian Latgale -Transitional or Mixed? In: Seržant, I. A. and B. Wiemer (eds.), Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars, Slavica Bergensia 13. Bergen: John Grieg AS.

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria (2001): A piece of the cake and a cup of tea: partitive and pseudo-partitive nominal constructions in the Circum-Baltic languages. In: Östen Dahl & Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), *The Circum-Baltic languages: typology and contact*. Vol. 2. *Grammar and typology.* Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 523-568.

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria & Bernhard Wälchli (2001): The Circum-Baltic languages: An areal-typological approach. In: *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact. Vol. 2. Grammar and Typology*, Östen Dahland Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 615-750.

Kožanov, Kirill 2011: Balto-slavjanskie glagol'nye prefiksy v baltijskix dialektax cyganskogo jazyka, *Acta Linguistica Petropolitana* 7(3). Sankt-Petersburg. 311-315.

Matras, Yaron & Sakel, Jeanette (2007): Investigating the mechanisms of pattern replication in language convergence, *Studies in Language* 31(4), 829-865.

Mazzitelli, Lidia Federica (2017): Predicative possession in the languages of the Circum-Baltic area, *Folia Linguistica* 51(1). 1-60.

Meakins, Felicity. 2021. Typological Factors. In Evangelia Adamou & Yaron Matras (eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Language Contact*, 185‑200. Routledge.

Nesset, T. 2022. Norwegian Compounds and Corresponding Constructions in Russian: The Case of Nouns with Deverbal Heads. *Scando-Slavica*, *68*(1), 73–95.

Rabus, Achim. Forthc. Inter-Slavic Language Contact. In: Greenberg, Mark (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Slavic Languages and Linguistics Online*.

Sakel, Jeanette 2007: Types of loan: Matter and pattern. In: Yaron Matras & Jeanette Sakel (eds.), *Grammatical Borrowing in Cross-Linguistic Perspectie*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Seržant, Ilja A. (2007): Kontakty drevnerusskogo i latyšskogo jazykov v oblasti fonetiki. In: Areal'noe i genetičeskoe v strukture slavjanskix jazykov. Materialy kruglogo stola. RAN Institut Slavjanovedenija. (Eds.) V. V. Ivanov, P. M. Arkadjev. 2007. 90-101. (Language contacts between Old Russian and Latvian in the domain of phonetics)

Seržant, Ilja A. (2012): The so-called possessive perfect in North Russian and the Circum-Baltic area. A diachronic and areal approach, *Lingua* 122, 356-385.

Seržant, Ilja A. (2014): The Independent Partitive Genitive in North Russian. In: Seržant, Ilja A. & Björn Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Dialectology: The area of North, Northwest Russian and Belarusian vernaculars*. [Slavica Bergensia, 13]. Bergen: John Grieg AS. 270-329.

Seržant, Ilja A. (2015a): Dative experiencer constructions as a Circum-Baltic isogloss. In: P. Arkadiev, A. Holvoet, B. Wiemer (eds.), *Contemporary Approaches to Baltic Linguistics.* De Gruyter. 325-348.

Seržant, Ilja A. (2015b): Independent partitive as a Circum-Baltic isogloss, *Journal Language Contact* 8, 341-418.

Seržant, Ilja A. (2021b): Slavic morphosyntax is primarily determined by the geographic location and contact configuration. Scando-Slavica 67(1), 65-90.

Stang, Christian S. (1935): *Die westrussische Kanzleisprache des Grossfürstentums Litauen*. [Norske Videnskaps-Akademi i Oslo. Historisk-Filosofisk Klasse. Skrifter. 1935, 2]. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

Stassen, Leon (2001): Non-verbal predication in the Circum-Baltic languages. In: *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact. Vol. 2. Grammar and Typology*, Östen Dahland Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 569-590.

Stolz, Thomas (2001): On Circum-Baltic instrumentals and comitatives: To and fro coherence. In: *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact. Vol. 2. Grammar and Typology*, Östen Dahland Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 591-614.

Timberlake, Allan. 1974. The nominative object in Slavic, Baltic, and West Finnic. Munchen: Sagner.

Topolińska, Zuzanna. 1995. Convergent Evolution, Creolization and Referentiality. In Eva Hajičová, Miroslav Červenka, Oldřich Leška, and Petr Sgall (eds.), *Prague Linguistic Circle Papers*, 239–247. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Veenker, Wolfgang (1967): Die Frage des finnougrischen Substrats in der russischen Sprache. Indiana University Publications. Uralic and Altaic Series 82. Bloomington.

Wiemer, Björn (2000): Diffusität und Synkretismus in der diachronen Syntax. Ein Klärungsversuch anhand von Kasussystemen, insbesondere der Objektmarkierung im Nordslavischen und Litauischen. In: Breu, Walter (ed.): *Slavistische Linguistik XXV. (Referate des XXV. Konstanzer Slavistischen Arbeitstreffens, Konstanz 7.-10.9.1999).* (= [Slavistische Beiträge 396]. München: Sagner, 277-313.

Wälchli, Bernhard. 2011. The Circum-Baltic languages. In: Bernd Kortmann & Johan van der Auwera (eds) The Languages and Linguistics of Europe: A Comprehensive Guide, 325-340. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.

Wiemer, Björn, Bernhard Wälchli & Björn Hansen (eds.). 2012. Grammatical Replication and Borrowability in Language Contact. Berlin: De Gruter Mouton.

Wiemer, Björn (2003): Dialect and language contacts on the territory of the Grand Duchy of Lithuania from the 15th century until 1939. In: Braunmüller, K., Ferraresi, G. (eds.), *Aspects of Multilingualism in European Language History*. [Hamburg Studies on Multilingualism, 2]. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: Benjamins, 105-143.

Wiemer, Björn (2004): Population linguistics on a micro-scale. Lessons to be learnt from Baltic and Slavic dialects in contact. In: Kortmann, Bernd (ed.), *Dialectology Meets Typology (Dialect Grammar from a Cross-Linguistic Perspective)*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 497-526.

Surzhyk

Danylenko, Andrii. 2015. Iazychie and Surzhyk: Mixing Languages and Identities in the Ukrainian Borderlands. In: Kamusella, Tomasz, et al., eds., *The Palgrave Handbook of Slavic Languages, Identities and Borders.* 81-102.

Danylenko, Andrii. 2015. HOW MANY VARIETIES OF STANDARD UKRAINIAN DOES ONE NEED? Revising the Social Typology of Standard Ukrainian. Die Welt der Slaven LX, 2015, 223-247.

Ukrainisch

Danylenko, Andrii. 2015. HOW MANY VARIETIES OF STANDARD UKRAINIAN DOES ONE NEED? Revising the Social Typology of Standard Ukrainian. Die Welt der Slaven LX, 2015, 223-247.

Zeller, Jan Patrick & Gerd Hentschel. 2023. Die ukrainische Schwarzmeerküste Sprachen – Nationalitäten – Identitäten. In: Wiemer, Björn & Rainer Goldt (eds.), *Die Ukraine als Objekt russischer Großmachtansprüche Sprachen, Identitäten und Diskurse*. Springer. https:.link.springer.com/book/10.57088/978-3-7329-8963-8

Umgangsprache / Register / Soziolinguistik

Wiemer, Björn. 2023. Ein Exot mit hegemonialen Ansprüchen Welche Rolle kommt dem Standardrussischen im (Ost)Slavischen zu? In: Wiemer, Björn & Rainer Goldt (eds.), *Die Ukraine als Objekt russischer Großmachtansprüche Sprachen, Identitäten und Diskurse*. Springer. https:.link.springer.com/book/10.57088/978-3-7329-8963-8

Zemskaja, E.A. 2011. *Russkaja razgovornaja reč'. Lingvističeskij analiz i problemy obučenija.* Moscow: Flinta, Nauka.

Wortstellung

Adamec, Přemysl. 1966. *Porjadok slov v sovremennom russkom jazyke*. Praha: Academia.

Babyonyshev, Maria. 1996. *Structural Connections in Syntax and Processing: Studies in Russian and Japanese*. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT, Cambridge, MA.

Bailyn, John F. 2003. A (purely) derivational approach to Russian free word order. In Wayles Browne, Ji-Yung Kim, Barbara Partee & Robert Rothstein (eds.), *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics (FASL) 11: The Amherst Meeting 2002*. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Slavic Publications.

Bailyn, John F. 2012. *The Syntax of Russian*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Czardybon, Adrian, Oliver Hellwig & Wiebke Petersen. 2014. Statistical Analysis of the Interaction between Word Order and Definiteness in Polish. In: Przepiórkowski, A., Ogrodniczuk, M. (eds), *Advances in Natural Language Processing. NLP 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 8686. Springer, Cham. 144-150.

Daneš, František. 1974. Functional sentence perspective and the organization of the text. In František Daneš (ed.), Papers on functional sentence perspective, 106–128. The Hague: Mouton.

Downing, Pamela. 1995. Word order in discourse: By way of introduction. In: Pamela Downing & Michael Noonan (eds), *Word order in discourse*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 1-28.

Dryer, Matthew. 1995. Frequency and pragmatically unmarked word order. In: Pamela Downing & Michael Noonan (eds), *Word order in discourse*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 105-136.

Ferreira, V. S., & Yoshita, H. 2003. Given new ordering effects on the production of scrambled sentences in Japanese. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 32(6), 669–692.

Firbas, Jan. 1992. *Functional sentence perspective in written and spoken communication.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Futrell, Richard, Kyle Mahowald & Edward Gibson. 2015. Large-scale evidence of dependency length minimization in 37 languages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(33). 10336–10341.

Givon, Talmy. 1990. *Syntax: A functional–typological introduction.* Vol. 1. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Haider, Hubert & Luka Szucsich. 2022. Slavic languages – "SVO" languages without SVO qualities? *Theoretical Linguistics* 48(1-2), 1-39.

Hawkins, J.A. 2014. *Cross-linguistic variation and efficiency*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Isačenko, Aleksandr V. 1966. O gramatičeskom porjadke slov. *Voprosy Jazykoznanija* 15(6), 27–34.

Jacennik, Barbara & Matthew S. Dryer. 1992. Verb-Subject Order in Polish. In: *Pragmatics of Word Order Flexibility*, ed. by Doris Payne, pp. 209-241. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Jasinskaja, Katja & Radek Šimík. Submitted. Slavonic free word order. In Jan Fellerer & Neil Bermel (eds*.), The Oxford guide to Slavonic languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jaeger, T. Florian & Elisabeth J. Norcliffe 2009. The Cross-linguistic Study of Sentence Production. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 3/4, 866–887.

Junghanns, Uwe &Gerhild Zybatow. 1997. Syntax and information structure of Russian clauses. In W. Brown (ed.), *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics #4: The Cornell Meeting*, 289-319. Ann Arbor: Michigan Slavic Publications.

Kempen, G., & Harbusch, K. 2004. A corpus study into word order variation in German subordinate clauses: Animacy affects linearization independently of grammatical function assignment. In T. Pechmann & C. Habel (eds.), *Multidisciplinary approaches to language production*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. 173–182.

Kosta, Peter & Lilia Schürcks. 2009. Word Order in Slavic. In Sebastian Kempgen, Peter Kosta, Tilman Berger & Karl Gutschmidt (eds.), *Die slavischen Sprachen*: *Ein internationales Handbuch zu ihrer Struktur, ihrer Geschichte und ihrer Erforschung*./*The Slavic Languages*: *An International Handbook of their Structure, their History and their Investigation. Volume 1*. Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter, 654-684.

Kovtunova, Irina I. 1976. *Sovremennyj russkij jazyk: porjadok slov i aktual'noe členenie predloženija*. Moskva: Prosveščenie.

Kravtchenko, E. 2014. Predictability and syntactic production: Evidence from subject omission in Russian. In: Bello, P., M. Guarini, M. McShane, & B. Scassellati (eds.), *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society. 785–790.

Krylova, O.A. & S.A. Khavronina. 1986. *Porjadok slov v russkom jazyke*. Moskva: Russkij jazyk.

Lambrecht, Knud. 2000. When Subjects Behave Like Objects. *Studies in Language* 24(3), 611–682.

Levshina, Natalia, Savithry Namboodiripad, Marc Allassonnière-Tang, Mathew Kramer, Luigi Talamo, Annemarie Verkerk, Sasha Wilmoth, Gabriela Garrido Rodriguez, Timothy Michael Gupton, Evan Kidd, Zoey Liu, Chiara Naccarato, Rachel Nordlinger, Anastasia Panova & Natalia Stoynova. 2023, aop. Why we need a gradient approach to word order. *Linguistics*, aop.

Liu, Zoey. 2020. Mixed evidence for crosslinguistic dependency length minimization. *STUF – Language Typology and Universals* 73(4). 605–633.

Migdalski, Krzysztof. 2016. *Second Position Effects in the Syntax of Germanic and Slavic Languages*. Wrocław: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego.

Mithun, Mariianne. 1987. Is basic word order universal? In: Tomlin, R. S. (ed.), *Coherence and grounding in discourse: outcome of a symposium, Eugene, Oregon, June 1984 Typological studies in language.* Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 281-328.

O'Grady, William. 2015. Processing determinism. *Language Learning* 65(1), 6–32.

Pičxadze, A. A. & A. V. Rodionova. 2011. O porjadke slov v sočetanijax «ličnaja forma glagola – prjamoe ob"ektnoe dopolnenie» v drevnerusskom jazyke. *Russkij jazyk v naučnom osveščenii* 21(1), 127-161.

Rodionova, E. 2001. Word order and information structure in Russian syntax. University of North Dakota, Master's thesis. Available online: http:.arts-sciences.und.edu/summer-institute-of-linguistics/theses/_Vles/docs/2001-rodionova-elena.pdf

Sgall, Petr, Eva Hajičová & Jarmila Panevová. 1986. *The Meaning of the Sentence in Its Semantic and Pragmatic Aspects*. Dordrecht: Reidel.Siewierska, Anna & Ludmila Uhlířová. 1998. An overview of word order in Slavic languages. In A. Siewierska (ed.), *Constituent Order in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 105-150.

Sirotinina, Ol'ga B. 2003. *Porjadok slov v russkom jazyke.* 2-e izd. ster. Moscow: URSS. (First published in 1965)Slioussar, Natalia. 2007. *Grammar and Information Structure: A Study with Reference to Russian*. Ph.D .dissertation, Utrecht University; LOT publication.

Svedstedt D. 1981. Theme Postponement in Russian. *Russian Linguistics* 6(1). 15–39

Szwedek, A. 1976. *Word Order, Sentence Stress and Reference in English and Polish.* Linguistic Research, Edmonton.

Tomlin, Russell. 1995. Focal attention, voice and word order: An experimental cross-linguistic study. In: Pamela Downing & Michael Noonan (eds), *Word order in discourse*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 517-554.

Vinogradov, V. V. & Je. S. Istrina. 1960. *Grammatika russkogo jazyka.* Tom 2. *Sintaksis. Čast' pervaja.* Moscow: Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk SSSR.Witkoś, Jacek. 1993. *Some aspects of phrasal movement in English and Polish*. Ph.D. dissertation, Uniwersytet Adama Mickiewicza, Poznań.

Xolodilova, Marija A. 2013. *Pozicionnye svojstva mestoimenij v russkom jazyke.* Vypusknaja kvalifikacionnaja rabota magistra russkoj filologii. University of St. Petersburg.

Yokoyama, Olga Tsuneko. 1986. *Discourse and word order*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Zemskaja, E.A. 2011. *Russkaja razgovornaja reč'. Lingvističeskij analiz i problemy obučenija.* Moscow: Flinta, Nauka.