

Cardiac rehabilitation reduces mortality even in the modern era of CAD treatment – a systematic review and metaanalysis

Heinz Völler^{1,2}, Annett Salzwedel¹, Daniel Saure³, Maria-Inti Metzendorf⁴, Katrin Jensen³, Bernhard Rauch⁵ for the CROS-Study group (Cardiac Rehabilitation Outcome Study)

Background

Although recent studies, meta-analyses, and guidelines, suggest a beneficial effect of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD), considerable scientific doubt is still apparent because:

- The type of CR offered varies considerably between and within the countries with respect to content, duration, intensity, and volume
- There are no accepted minimal standards worldwide to judge quality of CR delivery, leaving doubt about the effectiveness of CR
- Developments within the past 20 years (interventional therapies, surgery, medication) had a large impact on the quality of care delivered to patients participating in CR

Aim of the study

To evaluate CR-effectiveness on clinical prognosis after a recent cardiac event exclusively in the modern era of statin therapy and acute revascularization for acute coronary syndromes (ACS). To better reflect actual clinical practice, RCTs and controlled cohort studies (CCS) were included into the meta-analysis.

Method			
Population	After ACS	After CABG	Mixed population
Age	No restriction		
Time of events	1995 or later		
Intervention	Multi-component cardiac rehabilitation (CR)		
Start	Not later than 3 months after hospital discharge		
Supervision	CR under supervision and responsibility of a rehabilitation center (center-based CR)		
Definition of "multi-component"	CR including supervised and structured physical exercise at least twice a week as basic requirement plus at least one, preferably more, of the following components: Information, motivational techniques, education, psychological support and interventions, social and vocational support		
CR setting	In-patient, out-patient or mixed. Tele-rehabilitation included if the major part of CR sessions was center-based and all other predefined criteria were fulfilled		
Control	Patients with index event, but not participating in CR.		
Usual care	They may be supervised by GPs and/or cardiologists and participate in non-structured, non-supervised exercise programs outside a CR program		
Outcome	Total mortality (primary)		

Study evaluation

Cochrane risk of bias table was used for RCTs. The checklists of methodological issues on non-randomized studies and the Newcastle Ottawa Scale was used for CCSs.

Conclusion

From the basis of 25 CCS including 218,524 patients and reflecting routine clinical care in 9 countries worldwide, participation in structured multi-component CR is associated with reduced mortality after an acute coronary event even in the era of statins and acute revascularizations.

Records identified through database searching: **n = 24,610**
 Medline (PubMed): n = 8,965 Central (Cochrane Library): n = 2,178
 Enbase (Ovid): n = 9,780 CINAHL (Ebsco): n = 2,358
 LILACS (IAHx): n = 177 CIRRIE: n = 791
 ICTPR: n = 401
 Remaining records after removing duplicates: **n = 18,534**

Primary selection (Studies potentially meeting CROS criteria): **n = 243**

Ongoing studies of potential relevance: **n = 17**

Studies selected for full text evaluation: **n = 67**

Studies selected for structured study evaluation, qualitative analysis: **n = 39**

Studies included into meta-analysis quantitative analysis: **n = 25**

