

Assessing the relevance of drought duration on dryland rangelands: an experimental and modelling study

Kai Behn¹, Mirjam Pfeiffer², Vincent Mokoka³, Edwin Mudongo⁴, Jan Ruppert⁵, Simon Scheiter², Kingsley Ayisi³, Anja Linstädter⁶

¹University of Bonn, Inst. Crop Sci. and Res. Conserv. (INRES) - Plant Nutrition, Germany; ²Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (SBiK-F), Germany ³University of Limpopo, Risk and Vulnerability Science Centre, South Africa; ⁴Communities Living Among Wildlife Sustainably (CLAWS), Botswana ⁵University of Tübingen, Plant Ecology Group, Germany; ⁶University of Potsdam, Inst. of Biochemistry and Biology, Biodiversity Research / Syst. Botany, Germany



Background

Dryland rangelands contribute to the livelihoods of numerous people in southern Africa by providing ecosystem services such as forage for livestock.

 Ficture: Kai Behn

Climate change effects (e.g. less rainfall, more variability, prolonged droughts) and high demand for grazing land / forage pressure these ecosystems.

Research gaps:

Potentially interactive effects of drought and grazing



Drought effects on productivity



Black arrows show the average productivity* reduction under drought conditions (D+) in relation to non-drought (D-) for the experiment (left) and the model (right). The grey line and arrow indicate the rainfall reduction in the drought treatment. Slight effects

on savanna ecosystems

Importance of drought duration

Research questions:

- How **resistant** is herbaceous savanna vegetation to a two- and a six-year **extreme drought** under both **grazed** and **rested** conditions?
- How do the **different drought lengths** influence the **recovery** after drought release?

no drought / grazing no drought / no grazing Experimental plots after five 20°S -500 km treatment years. Pictures: Vincent Mokoka 25°S Location of the experiment: Syferkuil experimental farm South Africa 30°S Semi-arid savanna Precipitation: 400-600 mm / year 35°S 30°E 15°E 25°E

| of grazing (G+) vs resting (G-) in the experiment. | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| Experiment | Model | | | |
| | | | | |

Similar drought effects in the first 2 seasons

| Ongoing decline to < 20 % of no-drought control | Stabilization after the second year at around 60 % |
|--|---|
| Rain use efficiency initially above, then below control | Rain use efficiency always above control |
| * Productivity is estimated as aboveg (ANPP) in the experiment and net pri | round net primary production mary production (NPP) in the model. |

DroughtAct-experiment

Large-scale field experiment to study **combined** effects of **drought** and **grazing** on a semi-arid savanna ecosystem established in 2013.

Experimental drought:

- Passive rainout shelters with transparent roofs
- 66%-reduction of ambient rainfall
- Turning a normal year into a year of
 - "exceptional drought"
- Plots trenched to avoid horizontal water flow
- For 2 (H+) and 6 (D+)



Rainout shelter. Picture: Kai Behn

Conclusions

Drought impact increases with duration of drought!

- Little impact in first drought years
- Devastating effect of ongoing drought
- Changes in species compositon
- Loss of ground cover and valuable forage grasses
- Fast recovery after 2-years drought
- Vegetation model indicates a lengthy recovery process after drought

Drought effect on species

- Drought changes species composition beyond drought event
- Reduction of perennial grass cover
- The longer the drought the more severe
- Availability of diaspores may be crucial for recovery
- Resting strongly changes species composition



years

Grazing Management:

- Rotational grazing (G+)
- Cattle, moderate pressure
- Fences to exclude
 grazing in rested plots
 (G-)



Role of grazing ambivalent:

- Can stimulate recovery
- Can accelerate degradation
- Depends on drought impact, duration (and grazing intensity)

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 Number of sampling quadrats Number of sampling quadrats PCO 1

Left: Occurrence (yellow = present, white = absent) of two important perennial forage grasses during and after drought in experimental plots.

Right: Principal coordinates analysis of species composition in the first post-drought season after 6 drought years. Each dot represents a sampling quadrat; the color indicates the treatment; the arrows the proportion of forbs in the quadrat and the total cover.

Vegetation model

Adaptive Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (aDGVM)

- Functional trait-based vegetation model developed for tropical vegetation
- aDGVM2: Further improved by including e.g. different plant functional types and grazing impacts

Simulation of DroughtAct-treatments with aDGVM2

- to extrapolate the experiment on a temporal scale
- estimating the recovery periods in the future

| Activities 🗈 Terminal 🔫 | Feb 22 13:11 | - * | de 🕶 | 💎 🜓 🕂 100 % 🔻 |
|--|---|------------|------|---------------|
| S – D Ncview 2.1.8 + ¹ (on workstation3) | 🙁 – 🗉 🖃 mpfelffer@workstation3:/home/shared/Benchmarking_Data/MODIS_GPP_NPP_CUE/Timeseries_nc | | | Q = |
| MODIS MOD17A3 Science GPP,NPP and CUE, 2000-2015 annual time ser | PlotAnnualSeries2(GaTotAnnNPPConsGr,11,2000,2010,0,0.4, "Ratio ann. Cons./NPP", "Gavaza all grassland hectares",FALSE,"b)") | | | |
| displaying MODIS spatially aggregated mean GPP | PlotAnnualSeries2(GaTotAnnNPPConsGr1,11,2000,2010,0,0,4,"Ratio ann. Cons./NPP","Gavaza grassland hectares Al",FALSE,"c)") BlotAnnualSeries2(GaTotAnnNPPConsGr2,11,2000,2010,0,4,"Ratio ann. Cons./NPP","Gavaza grassland hectares Al",FALSE,"c)") | | | |
| frame 1/16 31-Dec-2000 00:00:00 | PlotAnnualSeries2(GarotanningrConsors,11,2000,2010,0,0.4, hattu ann. Cons./MPP, Gavaza grassland hectares A2, rALSE, 0) / PlotAnnualSeries2(GarotanningrConsors,11,2000,2010,0,0.4, "Rattu ann. Cons./MPP", Gavaza grassland hectares A3, rALSE, "e)") | | | |
| displayed range: 0.253846 to 3656.39 fraction | PlotAnnualSeries2(GaTotAnnNPPConsGr4,11,2000,2010,0,0.4,"Ratio ann. Cons./NPP","Gavaza grassland hectares A4",FALSE,"f)") graphics off() | | | |
| Current: (i=719, j=22) 125.378 (x=179.75, y=68.75) | graphics.or() | | | |
| | graphics.off() off("AnonexUPDP-tic Wo pdf" width=0.35 hoight=0.4) | | | |
| | part (Anneonswerkallo no.part, wildlie 6.23, height=9.47) | | | |

Recommendations

- <u>Moderate</u> grazing also during drought to avoid accumulation of dead biomass
- Reduce grazing with ongoing drought
- Temporal resting after drought release to facilitate recovery
- Grazing exclosures for seed production of perennial grasses
- Regular monitoring of rangeland health using indicators such as perennial grass cover and forb proportion
- Knowledge transfer between farmers, extention service and science

Post-drought recovery

- Experimental plots' productivity post-drought above (G+) and below (G-) control
- Vegetation model predicts reduced post-drought productivity for > 10 years









Rangeland at the study site. Picture: Kai Behn

Response ratios of productivity*) in the 2nd/6th drought year and the first respective post-drought year.

Key references:

Pfeiffer M, Langan L, Linstädter A, Martens C, Gaillard C, Ruppert JC, Higgins SI, Mudongo EI, Scheiter S (2019) Grazing and aridity reduce perennial grass abundance in semi-arid rangelands – Insights from a traitbased dynamic vegetation model. *Ecological Modelling*, **395**, 11–22.
Scheiter S, Pfeiffer M, Behn K, Ayisi KK, Siebert F, Linstädter A (2023) Managing southern African rangeland systems in the face of drought – a synthesis of observation, experimentation, and modeling for policy and decision support. In: Sustainability of southern African ecosystems under global change: Science for management and policy interventions. Eds: von Maltitz G, Midgley GF, Veitch J, Brümmer C, Rötter R, Viehberg F, Veste M. Springer.

Contact Author: Kai Behn Institute of Crop Science and Resource conservation (INRES), University of Bonn, Germany Mail: kaibehn@uni-bonn.de

